For EPA Internal Use ONLY

ASSESSMENT PROGRAM INFORMATION NEEDED TO DETERMINE SITE ELIGIBILITY (updated 4/11)

(Use Tab, arrow keys or mouse to move through questions; use Spacebar or mouse to check boxes)

A.	BACKGROUND INFORMATION Date: January 30, 2014			
Gr	ant number: BF96166601			
1.	Grant recipient: City of Chicopee, MA			
2.	Person providing site information: Lee M. Pouliot			
3.	Property/site name: Former Hampden Steam Plant Property			
4.	Property address: Assessors map/parcel ID 0116-00001, Lower Depot Street Chicopee, MA 01013			
5.	Current property owner: City of Chicopee			
6.	Work to be done: Phase I Phase II Phase III Other Explain Other:			
В.	SITES ELIGIBILE FOR FUNDING			
1.	. Does the site meet the definition of a Brownfields (a real property, the expansion, redevelopment or reuse of which is complicated by the presence or potential presence of hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants)? Yes No			
2.	Type of contamination present: Hazardous Substances Petroleum Co-Mingled (If the site has both hazardous substances and incidental petroleum contamination, check the box the "co-mingled" box. If the site has hazardous substances and distinguishable petroleum contamination, you must obtain approval from the State and EPA.)			
pro sys (lo Ha	scribe the operational history and current use(s) of the site: The former Hampden Steam Plant operty consists of twenty-two (22) acres of land beyond an Army Corps of Engineers' flood wall stem. With the exception of (5) acres at a much higher elevation than the remainder of the property cation of the plant), the site has never been developed and remains open, green space. The impden Steam Plant was constructed during the latter days of World War I to meet an increased mand for electricity and began service in September 1918. Running only intermittently through			

1963 when the Plant was closed. During the late 1980's the plant was demolished by the City of

Certain properties cannot be approved without a "Property Specific Determination". Please answer the following questions to the best of your knowledge:						
1.	Is your site/facility subject to a planned or ongoing CERCLA removal action? Yes No					
2.	Has your site/facility been issued a permit by the U.S. or an authorized state under the Solid Waste Disposal Act (as amended by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA)), the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (FWPCA), the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA), or the Safe Drinking Water Act (SWDA)? Yes No					
3.	Is your site/facility subject to corrective action orders under RCRA (sections 3004(u) or 3008(h))? Yes No					
4.	Is your site/facility a land disposal unit that has submitted a RCRA closure notification under subtitle C of RCRA or is subject to closure requirements specified in a closure plan or permit? Yes No					
5.	Has your site/facility had a release of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) that is subject to remediation under TSCA? Yes No					
6.	Has your site/facility received funding for remediation from the Leaking Underground Storage Tank (LUST) Trust Fund?					
Note: If you answered YES to any of the above (D. 1-6), please call your Project Officer and she/he will explain how to prepare a property specific determination. Refer to Appendix 2, Section 2.5, of the Proposal Guidelines for additional information.						
** For petroleum sites, please proceed to Section F - Petroleum Only Sites						
E.	PROPERTY OWNERSHIP ELIGIBILITY					
1.	Are there any known ongoing or anticipated environmental enforcement actions (at the federal, state or local level) regarding the responsibility of any party for contamination or hazardous substances at the site? Yes No If yes, please explain:					
Information on Liability and Defenses/Protections - Answer the following if the assessment grant recipient does NOT own the site:						
1.	Did the assessment grant recipient ever arrange for the disposal of hazardous substances at the site, or transport hazardous substances to the site? Yes No					
2.	Did the assessment grant recipient ever cause or contribute to any releases of hazardous					

	substances at the site? Yes No
3.	Describe the assessment grant recipient's relationship with the current owner and the owner's rol in the work to be completed:
	formation on Liability and Defenses/Protections - Answer the following if the assessment ant recipient owns the site or will own the site during the grant performance period:
1.	How was the property acquired (or how will it be acquired)?
	 a. Negotiated purchase from a private individual b. Purchase or transfer from another governmental unit c. Tax foreclosure d. Eminent domain e. Donation f. Other (explain): Long term owner of the site.
2.	What was the date when the property was acquired (or the anticipated date when it will be acquired)? November 27, 1987
3.	What is the name and identity of the party from whom the property was (or will be) acquired? The property was acquired from Sanifill, Inc.
4.	Describe all familial, contractual, corporate or financial relationships or affiliations the assessment grant recipient has or has had with all prior owners or operators of the property: As a municipality, the grant recipient has collected property taxes from the prior owner.
5.	Did disposal of all hazardous substances at the site occur before the assessment grant recipient acquired (or will acquire) the property? Yes No
6.	Did the assessment grant recipient ever arrange for the disposal of hazardous substances at the site, or transport hazardous substances to the site? Yes No
7.	Did the assessment grant recipient ever cause or contribute to any releases of hazardous substances at the site? Yes No
8.	Did the assessment grant recipient perform any environmental inquiry prior to the purchase of the property? X Yes No
9.	If a pre-purchase inquiry was performed, describe the types and dates of the assessments performed, indicate on whose behalf the assessments were performed, and indicate whether the applicant performed the pre-purchase inquiry in accordance with EPA's All Appropriate Inquiry rule (or ASTM E1527-05, or its equivalent at the time of purchase): An Environmental Site Assessment was completed by GZA (Goldberg Zoino & Associates, Inc. for the City of Chicopee's Office of Community Development on August 14, 1986. The City is unable to establish what, if any, standard this assessment was completed under in 1986.

F. PETROLEUM ONLY SITES - PROPERTY OWNERSHIP ELIGIBILITY

Petroleum-only sites are to be submitted to the state for eligibility determination. Please contact your state representative to obtain the information they require to determine site eligibility. As a courtesy, send a copy of the site eligibility information to your EPA Project Officer so he or she is aware of potential upcoming work. The assessment grant recipient must provide their EPA Project Officer with a copy of the state's determination letter. The following questions are typical of the petroleum site information you may need to provide to the state:

1.	Did the current and/or immediate past owner dispense or dispose of petroleum or petroleum products, or exacerbate existing petroleum contamination on the site? Yes No
No	te: If the answers to question F.1 is no, the site may be eligible.
2.	If the answer to either question F.1 is yes, did the responsible party take reasonable steps to address the petroleum contamination on site? Yes No Explain:
3.	If the answer to either question F.1 is yes, is the responsible party financially capable to assess and clean up the site? Yes No Explain:
sit eli co	ote: If question F.1 identified a responsible party who is liable for petroleum contamination at the e, and that party is financially viable to pay for assessment and cleanup costs, then the site is not gible. If the identified responsible party took reasonable steps to address the petroleum intamination at the site, and/or is not financially viable to pay for the assessment and cleanup costs, en the site may still be eligible.
4.	Is the site "relatively low risk" compared with other "petroleum-only" sites in the state:
	a. Is the site currently being cleaned up using LUST trust fund monies? Yes No
No re	b. Is the site currently subject to a response under the Oil Pollution Act (OPA)? Yes No ote: If the answers to questions F.4a and F.4b are no, the site would be considered to be of latively low risk for purposes of determining eligibility.
5.	Has any responsible party been identified for the site through, either:
	a. A judgment rendered in a court of law or an administrative order that would require any person to assess, investigate, or cleanup the site: Yes No
	b. An enforcement action by federal or state authorities against any party that would require any

person to assess, investigate, or cleanup the site: Yes No							
 c. A citizen suit, contribution action or other third party claim brought against the current or immediate past owner, that would, if successful, require the assessment, investigation, or cleanup of the site: ☐ Yes ☒ No 							
6. Is the site subject to any RCRA orders issued under 9003(h) of the Solid Waste Disposal Act? Yes No							
Note: If the answer to any of the questions in F.5 or F.6 is yes, the site is not eligible.							
G. ACCESS							
Does the assessment grant recipient have access or an access agreement for this property? ☑ Yes ☐ No							
H. NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT (NHPA) COMPLIANCE Note: If you answer yes to any of the following questions you should contact your project officer to determine if any additional information is required.							
 Is your selected property (site) currently listed in the National Register of Historic Places and/or is it a designated National Landmark? ☐ Yes ☐ No 							
2. Is your selected property (site) eligible to be listed in the National Register of Historic Places? Yes No							
In order to support your response, please provide any and all documentation from the federal Government and/or State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO). (i.e., SHPO Determination Letter which you may obtain independent of the EPA process.							
3. Is your selected property (site) part of a designated Historic District? Yes No							
4. Will your project impact the viewshed of any adjacent or surrounding designated Historic Districts or registered historic structures? Yes No							
5. Does your project have the potential to impact archaeological resources? Yes No							
I. SITE ELIGIBILITY							
(To be filled out by EPA Project Officer.)							
The site, at the above-described property, is eligible for assessment work: Yes No							

(

Christine Lambard	v	2-5-19	/ ·
Project Officer		Date	
Need for Attorney Consultation: Yes No	Notes:		
Additional Information:		£	,

e F